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Abstract

A data warehouse is an information provider that collects necessary data from individual source databases to support the analytical processing of decision-support functions. In the past, research on data warehouses primarily focused on relational data models. In this paper, the concept of object-oriented data warehousing is introduced, and three algorithms, including instance-insertion, instance-deletion and instance-modification, are proposed to maintain the consistency between the data warehouse and the source databases. Time complexity is also analyzed to show their performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of data warehousing was first proposed by Inmon (Inmon and Kelley, 1993). A data warehouse contains information that is collected from multiple, individual data sources and integrated into a common repository for efficient query and analysis. When the data sources are distributed over several locations, a data warehouse has the responsibility to collect the necessary data and save it in appropriate forms. Figure 1 shows the architecture of a typical data warehousing system.
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In Figure 1, there are three major components in a data warehousing system: the data collector, the data warehouse, and the OLAP and query processor. The data collector is responsible for collecting necessary information and transaction messages from several individual data sources through communication networks with respect to the requirements of end users and the views defined in the data warehouse. The data warehouse receives data from the data collector, then filters it and stores it in its own database. The OLAP and query processor provides all necessary information for user queries and OLAP requirements.

Recently, research on the maintenance and performance of a data warehouse has been proposed to help on-line analytical processing (OLAP). Some research topics are maintenance (Huyn, 1996; Huyn, 1997; Mumick et al., 1997; Quass et al., 1996; Quass and Widom, 1997; Yang and Widom, 1998), consistency (Chen et al., 1998; Kawaguchi et al., 1997; Zhuge and Garcia-Molina, 1997; Zhuge et al., 1997; Zhuge et al., 1998; Zhuge et al., 1998), query processing (Chen et al., 1999; Gupta et al., 1995; O'Neil and Quass, 1997) and among others (Gupta, 1997; Gupta et al., 1997; Harinarayan et al., 1996; Labio and Garcia-Molina, 1996; Labio et al., 1997).

In the past decades, the relational data model has gained much attention and most research on data warehousing has focused primarily on this model. Recently, object-oriented data models have grown rapidly and have been widely adopted in the fields of database, artificial intelligence, software engineering and geographic information systems. An object-oriented database stores data in the form of classes and instances, and supports encapsulation and inheritance. Each instance in an object-oriented database inherits the characteristics of its preceding object (called its class) from which its structure is defined.

Applications of object-oriented database systems, such as CAD/CAM systems and GIS (Geographic Information Systems), may require a data warehouse to improve the efficiency of queries for decision support, especially when the databases are distributed over several places (陳威州等, 1999). The object-oriented characteristics, such as the inheritance structure and complex referential relationship, make the techniques of data warehousing using the relational data model not completely suitable for the object-oriented environment. Appropriate extension or modification of relational data warehousing is thus needed.

In this paper, the concept of object-oriented data warehousing is introduced and three algorithms, including instance-insertion, instance-deletion and instance-modification, are proposed to maintain the consistency between the source databases and the data warehouse. The properties of object models, including inheritance and encapsulation, are appropriately considered in the proposed maintenance algorithms. Only the necessary inherent relations between classes are kept and used to build instances in the data warehouse (Chen, 1999). Part of the data and relationships of the classes are thus replicated in the data warehouse to archive this purpose and improve the query and maintenance performance.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The concept of object-oriented data warehousing is introduced in Section 2. Formal definitions of the concepts related to this paper are given in Section 3. Three algorithms for consistency between the object-oriented data warehouse and the source databases are proposed respectively in Section 4 to 6. Examples are also given there.
to illustrate the proposed algorithms. The time complexity of these algorithms is analyzed in Section 7. Finally, conclusions are given in Section 8.

2. OBJECT-ORIENTED DATA WAREHOUSING

An object-oriented database is a database that stores large numbers of objects, including classes and instances, with the functions of encapsulation, inheritance and polymorphism. Every instance in an object-oriented database inherits its characteristics from a superior object, called a class, which defines the basic structure of objects with common properties. The roles of classes and instances in an object-oriented database are similar to the roles of schema and tuples in a relational database.

An object-oriented data warehousing system includes a data warehouse and underlying data sources (Chen, 1999; Chen et al., 1999). Since the information in a data warehouse is collected from the underlying data sources, appropriate views have to be defined to speed up the OLAP requests and query processing. In an object-oriented database system, the views act as virtual classes to augment the class hierarchy to enhance the modeling and schema restructuring capabilities (Kim, 1995). Many useful view maintenance techniques have been proposed in (Ra and Rundensteiner, 1997; Rundensteiner, 1992; Scholl et al., 1991). In the object-oriented warehouses, the views have to be defined in the data warehouse and the related objects need to be reproduced from the data sources. After the views have been defined in a data warehouse, a procedure is invoked to obtain the necessary information from the source databases and to create appropriate classes and instances according to the definition of views.

Since the objects desired are stored in the data warehouse, the problem of incrementally maintaining these objects to maintain the consistency between data warehouses and data sources will occur. Designing appropriate maintenance algorithms to keep the consistency of the data warehouse and source databases is thus necessary.

3. PROBLEM DEFINITIONS

In an object-oriented database, each class is associated with a unique object identifier, a set of attributes, and a set of procedures called methods. Each attribute has its data type, which may be atomic or another class. The classes can be organized into a hierarchical structure, with the function of inheritance among them.

Formally, let $I$ be a set of identifiers, $A$ be a set of symbols called attribute names, $T$ be a set of data types allowed for $A$, $V$ be a set of values presenting the meaning of $A$, and $M$ be a set of processing methods. A class in an object-oriented database can be defined as follows.

Definition 1 (Class):
A class $c$ is a quadruple $\{cid, ca, ct, cm\}$, where $cid \in I$, $ca = <ca_1, ca_2, ..., ca_n>$ with $ca_i \in A$ and $i=1$ to $n$, $ct = <ct_1, ct_2, ..., ct_n>$ with $ct_j \in T$ and $j=1$ to $n$, and $cm \subseteq M$.

Example 1:
Figure 2 gives a simple example of the four classes, *Employee, Name, Dept, and Office*. The class *Employee* has four attributes, *EmployeeID, EmployeeName, EmployeeDept, EmployeeTitle* and one method *Counter()*.

The attribute *EmployeeID* is a character type. The attribute *EmployeeName* and *EmployeeClass* are *Name* and *Classes* types, which are classes. The relationship among these classes can be represented by a graph as shown in Figure 3, where a circle represents a class, a rectangle represents an atomic type, and an ellipse represents a set of attributes.

1. **Class Employee**
   - *EmployeeID* char(10),
   - *EmployeeName* Name,
   - *EmployeeDept* Dept,
   - *EmployeeTitle* char(10)
   - *Counter()* int

2. **Class Office**
   - *State* char(20),
   - *City* char(20)

3. **Class Name**
   - *First* char(20),
   - *Middle* char(20),
   - *Last* char(20)

4. **Class Dept**
   - *DeptID* char(3),
   - *DeptName* char(40)
   - *DeptOffice* Office
   - *Counter()* int

**Figure 2 An example of classes**

For the class *Employee* in this example, *cid = Employee, ca = {EmployeeID, EmployeeName, EmployeeDept, EmployeeTitle}, ct = {char, Name, Dept}, and cm = {Counter()}. Let C be the set of classes defined in the source databases. That is *C = {c1, c2,..., cn}* where *ci* is a class, 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *n*.**
Figure 4 A graphical representation of the relationship among classes and instances
An instance is created by referring to a class and inheriting some particular characteristics from the class. Similarly, each instance is associated with a unique instance identifier, a set of attributes, and a set of procedures called methods. Each attribute value can be an atomic value or an instance from another class. Formally, an instance in an object-oriented database can be defined as follows.

**Definition 2 (Instance):**
An instance \( t = \{ tid, ta, tv, tm, tc \} \) is created and inherits from a certain class \( tc = \{ cid, ca, ct, cm \} \) such that \( tid \in I, ta = ca, tv = <tv_1, tv_2, \ldots, tv_n> \) with \( tv_i \) being of type \( ct_i \) and \( i = 1 \) to \( n \), and \( tm \subseteq cm \).

**Example 2:**
- **View** R&DEmployee ( FirstName char(20), LastName char(20), City char(20))
  as {
    Select
    
    EmployeeName.First,
    EmployeeName.Last,
    EmployeeDept.DeptOffice.City
  
  From Employee
  Where EmployeeDept.DeptName = “R&D”;

- **View** TexasDept (DeptID char(3), DeptName char(40), City char(20))
  as {
    Select
    
    DeptID,
    DeptName,
    DeptOffice.City
  
  From Dept
  Where DeptOffice.State = “Texas”;
represents an instance, a solid line points from an instance to its attribute, and a dashed line points from a class to an instance.

For the instance \( ST01 \) in this example, \( tid = ST01, ta = \{ \text{EmployeeID}, \text{EmployeeName}, \text{EmployeeClass} \}, tv = \{863201, \text{WCC}, \text{A1} \}, tm = \{ \text{Counter()} \}, \) and \( tc = \text{Employee} \).

A view is characterized by a unique view identifier, a set of attributes and a query sentence. The number of attributes is equal to that in the query sentence. Formally, a view in an object-oriented database can be defined as follows.

**Definition 3 (View):**
A view \( WV \) in an object-oriented data warehouse is a quadruple \( \{wvid, wva, wvv, wvs\} \) such that \( wvid \in I, wva = \langle wva_1, wva_2, \ldots , wva_n \rangle \) with \( wva_i \in A \) and \( i = 1 \) to \( n \), \( wvv \subseteq T \), and \( wvs \) is a query statement (\( \text{Select } S, \text{ From } F, \text{ Where } W \)), where \( S = \langle s_1, s_2, \ldots , s_n \rangle \) with \( s_i \in A \) and \( i = 1 \) to \( n \), \( F \in C \), \( W \) denotes the query conditions, and \( |wva| = |S| \).

**Example 3:**
Figure 6 A graphical representation of the relationship among classes and instances in the object-oriented data warehouse

Figure 5 gives a simple example of two view definitions, R&DEmployee and TexasDept. For the view R&DEmployee in this example, wvid = R&DEmployee, wva = (FirstName, LastName, City), wvv = (char(20), char(20), char(20)), and wvs = "Select EmployeeName.FirstName, EmployeeName.LastName, EmployeeDept.DeptOffice.City From Employee Where EmployeeDept.DeptName = "R&D"."

Definition 4 (Warehouse):
An object-oriented data warehouse $W$ is a triple $\{C, V, I\}$, where $C$ is the set of classes, $V$ is the set of view definitions, and $I$ is the set of instances generated according to $C$.

Example 4:
For example, assume $C$ is the same as that given in Figure 3, $V$ is the same as that given in Figure 6, and the eight instances stored above exist in the source database. According to $C$ and $V$, there are only six instances, including EM01, WCC, R&D, NY, HQ and TD, which satisfy the conditions of view definitions. These six instances are thus sent from the source database to the warehouse and are thus saved in the object-oriented data warehouse. The relationship between classes and instances in the object-oriented data warehouse for this example is represented by a graph as shown in Figure 6.
Figure 7 The Graphical Representation for Example 5
An object-oriented data warehouse is said to be consistent with the underlying source databases if it contains the necessary class structure and all instances (with their correct inheritance structure) satisfying the given view definitions even after the source databases have been updated by instance insertion, modification or deletion. Below, three view-maintenance algorithms are proposed to maintain the consistency of an object-oriented data warehouse. They are used respectively for instance insertion, instance deletion and instance modification. The details are described as follows.

4. INSTANCE INSERTION

When a new instance tid is inserted into the source database, a transaction message should be sent from the data collector to the data warehouse for view maintenance. The format of a transaction message for instance insertion is proposed as follows:

\[ \text{MsgID, insert, tid, cid, } \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\} \]

where \( \text{MsgID} \) denotes the message identifier of this transaction, \( \text{insert} \) denotes type of the message, \( \text{tid} \) denotes the identifier of the instance, \( \text{cid} \) denotes the updated class identifier of this instance, and \( v_i \) denotes the \( i \)-th attribute value in the instance \( \text{tid} \), \( i = 1 \) to \( n \). For example, if an instance \( MT \) of the class \( \text{Dept} \) with attribute values \{003, Marketing, TD\} is inserted into the source database, the data collector will detect it and send a transaction message \((0001, \text{insert}, MT, \text{Dept}, \{003, \text{Marketing}, \text{TD}\})\) to the warehouse. The view-maintenance algorithm for processing the above instance-insertion transaction message is proposed as follows.

The maintenance algorithm for instance insertion:

**Input**: A data warehouse \( W(C, V, I) \) and an inserted instance \( \text{tid} \) of class \( \text{cid} \).

**Output**: A revised data warehouse \( W'(C, V', I') \).

**Step 1**: Receive an instance-insertion transaction message which is formed from the data collector.

**Step 2**: Check whether the class \( \text{cid} \) is used in the views \( V \) in the data warehouse \( W \). If class \( \text{cid} \) is used in \( V \), do the next step; Otherwise, set \( W' = W \) and exit the algorithm.

**Step 3**: Check whether the instance \( \text{tid} \) satisfies the conditions of the views \( V \) which refer to the class \( \text{cid} \); If the instance satisfies the condition of at least one view, add instance \( \text{tid} \) to \( I \) of the warehouse \( W \) and set \( I' = I \), \( W' = W \); Otherwise, set \( W' = W \) and exit the algorithm.

**Example 5:**

Continuing Example 4, assume that an instance has been inserted into the source database, and the transaction message was formed as \((0001, \text{insert}, MT, \text{Dept}, \{003, \text{Marketing}, \text{TD}\})\). This message is processed by the instance-insertion algorithm as follows.

**Step 1**: Receive the transaction message \((0001, \text{insert}, MT, \text{Classes}, \{003, \text{Marketing}, \text{TD}\})\) from the data collector.

**Step 2**: Since the views \( \text{TexasDept} \) and \( \text{R&DEmployee} \) existing in the warehouse \( W \) refer to the class \( \text{Dept} \), the algorithm thus executes Step 3.

**Step 3**: Since the instance \( MT \) satisfies the condition in view \( \text{TexasDept} \), it is thus added to the instance set \( I \) of the data warehouse \( W \) with its attribute values \{003, Marketing, TD\}.
The graphical representation of the warehouse after the instance $MT$ has been processed is shown in Figure 7.

5. INSTANCE DELETION

When an existing instance $tid$ is deleted from the source database, a transaction message is sent from the data collector to the data warehouse for view maintenance. The format of a transaction message for deleting an instance is proposed as follows:

$MsgID, \text{delete, cid, tid.}$

For example, assume that an instance $NY$ of class $Office$ is deleted from the source database. The data collector will detect it and send a transaction message $(0002, \text{delete, Office, NY})$ to the warehouse. If the instance deleted refers to or is referred to by other instances, each of the following alternatives may be adopted:
1. directly rejecting the deletion operation,
2. modifying the referring attribute values to null, or
3. cascading the deletion operation.

The second alternative is chosen here in our algorithm. The view-maintenance algorithm for processing the above instance-deletion statement is proposed as follows.

The maintenance algorithm for instance deletion:
Input: A data warehouse $W \langle C, V, I \rangle$ and a deleted instance $tid$ of class $cid$. 
Output: A revised data warehouse $W' \langle C, V, I' \rangle$.
Step 1. Receive an instance-deletion transaction message which is formed from the data collector.
Step 2. Search the data warehouse $W$ for instances $tid$; If instance $tid$ exists in $I$, do the next step; Otherwise, set $W' = W$ and exit the algorithm.
Step 3. Find the classes in the warehouse $W$, which have attributes of class type $cid$. Denote these classes as $A$.
Step 4. For every element in $A$, find its instances with an attribute value being $tid$. Change the attribute values for these instances to null.
Step 5. Remove $tid$ from $I$ in the warehouse $W$ and set $I' = I$, $W' = W$. 
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After Step 5, the instance $tid$ has been deleted from the data warehouse. An example is given below to demonstrate the instance-deletion algorithm.

**Example 6:**
Continuing Example 5, assume that an instance has been deleted in the source database, and the transaction message is formed as (0002, delete, Office, CS). This message is processed by the instance-deletion algorithm as follows.

Step 1. Receive the transaction message (0002, delete, Office, CS) from the data collector.
Step 2. Since the instance CS has existed in the warehouse W, the algorithm executes Step 3.
Step 3. Find the attribute DeptOffice of class Dept, which is of the type Office.
Step 4. Since the value of attribute DeptOffice was originally NY in the instance R&D, the attribute values of DeptOffice in the instances is changed to null.
Step 5. Remove the instance NY from the warehouse W.

A graphical representation of the warehouse after the instance NY has been deleted is shown in Figure 8. The attribute value of DeptOffice in R&D has been updated as null.

6. INSTANCE MODIFICATION

When the attribute values of an instance tid in the source database are changed, a transaction message is sent from the data collector to the data warehouse for view maintenance. The format of a transaction message for modifying an instance is proposed as follows:

```
MsgID, update, tid, cid,
```

![Graphical representation of the warehouse](image-url)
where $u_i$ denotes the $i$-th attribute name to be updated and $v_i$ denotes the new value of $u_i$. For example, assume that the value of attribute DeptID in instance R&D is changed from 001 to 005. The data collector will detect it and send a transaction message (0003, update, Dept, R&D, {DeptID 005}) to the warehouse. The view-maintenance algorithm for processing the above instance-modification transaction message is proposed as follows.

**The maintenance algorithm for instance modification:**

Input: data warehouse $W(C, V, I)$ and a modified instance $tid$ of class $cid$.


Step 1. Receive an instance-modification transaction message which is formed from the data collector.

Step 2. Search the data warehouse $W$ for instances $tid$; If instance $tid$ exists in $W$, do the next step; Otherwise, set $W' = W$ and exit the algorithm.

Step 3. For the instance $tid$ in the warehouse, change its attribute values according to the transaction message.

Step 4. Check whether the instance $tid$ satisfies the conditions of the views $V$ which refer to the class $cid$; If the instance satisfies the condition of at least one view, keep instance $tid$ in $I$ of the warehouse $W$; Otherwise, remove $tid$ from $I$ in the warehouse $W$.

After Step 4, the attribute values of instance $tid$ have been modified in the data warehouse. An example is given below to demonstrate the instance-modification algorithm.

**Example 7:**
Continuing Example 6, assume that the attribute values of an instance have been modified in the source database, and the transaction message is formed as \((0003, \text{update}, \text{Dept}, \text{R&D}, \{(\text{DeptID} 005)\})\). This message is processed by the instance-modification algorithm as follows.

Step 1. Receive the transaction message \((0003, \text{update}, \text{Dept}, \text{R&D}, \{(\text{DeptID} 005)\})\) from the data collector.

Step 2. Since the instance \(A1\) exists in the warehouse \(W\), the algorithm executes Step 3.

Step 3. Change the value of attribute \(\text{DeptID}\) of the instance \(\text{R&D}\) from 001 to 005.

Step 4. Since \(A1\) satisfies the condition of the view \(\text{R&DEmployee}\), it is kept in \(W\).

The graphical representation of the warehouse after the attribute value of instance \(A1\) has been changed is shown in Figure 9.

### 7. TIME COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS

The time complexity of the proposed maintenance algorithms is analyzed in this section. Let \(m\) be the number of classes, \(n\) be the number of instances, and \(k\) be the number of view definitions in the data warehouse. Also define \(i\) is the maximum possible number of attributes in a view and \(j\) is the maximum possible number of attributes in a class. The time complexity of each step in the instance insertion algorithm is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step No</th>
<th>Time Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>(O(1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>(O(ki))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>(O(kj))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(O(ki)+O(kj))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The time complexity of each step in the instance deletion algorithm is shown in Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step No</th>
<th>Time Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>(O(1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>(O(n))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>(O(nj))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>(O(mnj))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 5</td>
<td>(O(1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(O(mnj))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The time complexity of each step in the instance modification algorithm is shown in Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step No</th>
<th>Time Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 The time complexity of instance modification algorithm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step No</th>
<th>Time Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>$O(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>$O(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>$O(j)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>$O(kj)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$O(n)+ O(kj)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. CONCLUSION

Maintenance of the data warehouse is very important to the accuracy of the on-line analytical processing. In this paper, we have discussed the concept of the object-oriented data warehouse, and have described the maintenance problems in such a data warehouse. We have also proposed three algorithms to maintain the consistency between the data warehouse and the source databases. Although the proposed algorithms can be used to make object-oriented data warehousing practical, it is only a beginning. Much work still needs to be done in this field. In the future, we will try to apply our research results in fuzzy machine learning (Hong and Lee, 1996; Hong and Chen, 1999; Hong and Chen, 2000; Hong and Tseng, 1997) to data-warehousing and propose other data models for different problem domains (Chen, 1999; Chen et al., 1999).
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